

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND BALTIMORE DIVISION

BERNARDITA MEDINA,)
1209 Fristoe Ct.)
Forest Hill, MD 21050) PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR
Harford County) DAMAGES
Plaintiff,)
,) JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
– vs –)
OC CERVICES I P)
GC SERVICES, LP, 6330 Gulfton)
Houston, TX 77081)
110050011, 111 / / 001	,)
)
Defendant.	
COMP	LAINT
<u>COMI</u>	LAINI
NOW COMES Plaintiff, BERNADITA	MEDINA ("Plaintiff"), through her attorneys,
nereby alleges the following against Defendant,	GC SERVICES, LP ("Defendant"):
Nature of	the Action
1. This action is brought by Plaintiff pursu	ant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 13
U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").	
Pai	rties
<u> </u>	· · · · · ·
2. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in	Harford County, in the city of Forest Hill in the
state of Maryland and is otherwise sui ju	ıris.
3. Plaintiff is allegedly obligated to pay a control of the second of th	debt and is a consumer as defined by 15 U.S.C.
1692a(3).	
· /	

- 4. Defendant is a Limited Partnership conducting business in the state of Maryland, and has its principal place of business in Houston, TX.
- 5. Defendant is a debt collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 6. Defendant acted though its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy."
- 8. Because Defendant conducts business in Maryland, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 9. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(b) because Plaintiff resides within this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred within this District.

Factual Allegations

- 10. On or around June 20, 2019, Defendant placed a collection call to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt.
- 11. Plaintiff's alleged debt arises from transactions for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 12. Defendant called Plaintiff's telephone number at (443) XXX-2584.
- 13. On or around June 20, 2019, Defendant left a voicemail on Plaintiff's answering machine.
- 14. In the voicemail message, Defendant failed to meaningfully disclose the company's name, the nature of the call or state that the call was from a debt collector.

- 15. In the voicemail message, Defendant directed Plaintiff to call back telephone number (314) 851-4649, which is a number that belongs to Defendant.
- 16. In the voicemail message, Defendant failed to disclose the purpose of its call was to collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff.
- 17. Defendant is engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, and abuse Plaintiff in connection with attempting to collect on a debt by failing to meaningfully disclose its identity in phone calls.
- 18. Defendant is also using false, deceptive and misleading means in connection with attempting to collect a debt by not identifying the purpose of its phone calls or that they are an attempt to collect a debt.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-17.
- 20. Defendant's violations of the FDCPA include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Defendant violated $\S1692(d)$ of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of which the natural result is the abuse and harassment of the Plaintiff;
 - b. Defendant violated $\S1692(d)(6)$ of the FDCPA by placing a telephone call without meaningfully disclosing its identity;
 - c. Defendant violated $\S1692(e)$ of the FDCPA by any other false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection; and
 - d. Defendant violated $\S1692(e)(11)$ of the FDCPA by failing to contain the warning: This is an attempt to collect a debt... communication is from a debt collector.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for the
2	following:
3	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
4	21. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
5	22. Reasonable attorneys' fees, costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
6	23. Awarding such other and further relief as may be just, proper and equitable.
7	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
8	24. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.
9	
10	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
11	Date: January 10, 2020 /s/ Anitra Ash-Shakoor
12	Anitra Ash-Shakoor, Esquire (Federal Bar No. 18701)
13	Attorney for Plaintiff Bernardita Medina
	Capital Justice Attorneys, LLP 1325 G Street NW, Suite 500
14	Washington, DC 20005 Office: (202) 465-0888
15	Fax: (202) 827-0089 Email: a.ashshakoor@capitaljustice.com
16	Eman. a.ashshakooi @ capitaljustice.com
17	James A. Sellers II, Esquire
18	(Pro hac vice application to follow) Attorney for Plaintiff Bernardita Medina
19	The Law Offices of Jeffrey Lohman, P.C. 4740 Green River Rd., Suite 310
20	Corona, CA 92880
21	Office: (657) 363-3331 Fax: (657) 246-1311
22	Email: jamess@jlohman.com
23	
24	
25	